

## Modified Form Letters

**Table 8-2.** Modified Form Letters Received on the Draft EIS/EIR

Code	Name
1-JG	Jeanine Gilvaheer
1-PM1	Phyl Morello
1-RG	Robert Groff
1-EL	Elizabeth Leite
1-RT	Ruth Troetschler
1-PM2	Phyl Morello
1-AN1	Alexis Nahabedian
1-SL	Sherry Lizardo
1-DB1	David Beck
1-HH	Holy Holian
1-JN	Jane Nielson
1-JD	Joann DeSantis
1-ML	Michael Linvill
1-TG	Troy Gordon
1-DG1	Deborah Giordano
1-KA	Kent Andrews
1-ST	Sauwah Tsang
1-TN	Toni Nash
1-KW	Kathryn Wild
1-LD	Larry Dennis
1-DR	Donna Riddle
1-TRT	Terry R. Thomas
1-NS	Nicole Sanders
1-DB2	Dena Bergstrom
1-DG2	Diana Ginnebaugh
1-RA	Richard Artley
2-DC	David Carle
2-CK	Carrie King
2-JS	Jeffrey Schultz
2-RZ	Rosa Zambrano
3-NL	Noemi Levine
3-DM	Dean Mieras
3-EM	Elizabeth Moody
3-SO	Susan Orozco-Neu
3-PR1	Philip Ratcliff
3-BW1	Bettine Wallin
3-MW	Meredith Whitaker
3-BW2	Betty Winholtz,
3-TH	Tom Hazelleaf
3-BF	Bernard Franklyn

Code	Name
3-LEF	L. Eleanor Finney
3-LD	Lou Anna Denison
3-TA1	Thomas Aldridge
3-JB	Jan Balcom
3-PJ2	Paul Jarvis
3-JW	Judith Wolfe
3-DW	Daniel Whittaker
3-DLS	Dana L. Stewart
3-PS1	Patricia Standing
3-GS1	Gayle Spencer
3-BS	Barrett Sherwood
3-MR	Matthew Roman
3-PR2	Patricia Roca
3-MK	Mary Kimball
3-TK1	Tara Kamath
3-LP1	Lauri Provencher
3-TK2	Teresa Kruse
3-CL	Christopher Lish
3-SL2	Sherry Lizardo
3-CM	Clayton Mansfield
3-DB3	Diane Beck
3-TA2	Thomas Aldridge
3-DB4	Doug Brutocao
3-CB	Chris Bucklin
3-GD	Galen Davis
3-BD	Bonnie Dombrowski
3-LE	Lari Evangelinos
3-JG	Jose Gonzalez
3-JC	Judith Castiano
3-JP	JoAnn Perryman
3-MM	Mary Markus
3-DN	Dorothy Norris
3-PP	Patricia Puterbaugh
3-GK	Gretchen Koch
3-JH	Jeff Hoffman
3-PS2	Phil Scordelis
3-BU	Bill Uyeki
3-AN2	Adam Noar
3-MB	Marisa L. Bautista
3-JB	Juan Byron
3-BRG	Barbara and Rob Goodell
3-ES	Ellen Sweeney
3-AU-1	Arthur Unger
3-LT2	Larry Thompson
3-MD	Mac Downing

Code	Name
3-BM3	Boyd McDonald
3-PL	Peter Loeff
3-JN	Joanna Nelson
3-RV	Robin Vosburg
3-LP2	Lamar Pittman
3-RP	Richard Placone
3-MAK	Maureen A. Kirk
4-KF	Ken Fujii
5-AA	Abraham Ayala
7-KLA	K.L. Andersonnoecker
7-BB	Bob Busch
7-AC	Ann Carranza
7-SD	Shannon L. Dillon
7-WD	William Drake
7-PE	Peggy Ents
7-LF	Lis Fleming
7-CF1	Claire Flewitt
7-CF2	Christopher Flynn
7-CH	Candance Hallmark
7-KW	Kathleen Wong
7-RW	Roberta Wilson
7-WT	William Thompson
7-MS1	Martha Stookey
7-GS2	Gayle Spencer
7-KS	Kathryn Sibley
7-BR	B. Rozett
7-LR	Linda Riebel
7-OH	Ocie Hudson
7-AHF	Audrey A. Holmes Fatooh
7-RH	Rebecca Hollingsworth
7-RDH	Rich and DeAnne Hart
7-JK	James Koss
7-DK	Daniel Kendrick
7-PJ1	Pete Jussel
7-PR3	Philip Ratcliff
7-ML2	Michael Lu
7-SL1	Shelly Leung
7-DSL	David and Susan Link
7-JM	Joshua McCabe
7-BM1	Bonnie Martin
7-KM1	Kathleen Means
7-KM2	Kurt Merg
7-PM1	Patrick Micheletti
7-BM2	Brian Milton, P.E.
7-JJ	Jim Jordan

Code	Name
7-CW	Cam Wolff
7-PL	Peter Loeff
7-KG	Karen Guma
7-JPM	James P. Maddox
7-PMV	Patricia McVeigh
7-LS	Linda Staaf
7-MS2	Mary Sweeters
7-AT	Arlene Taeger
7-LT1	Lara Triona
7-TN	Thomas Nass
7-LN	Lorraine Norby
7-JW	Jessica Warner
8-JP	Joe Peterson

## Modified Form Letters 1

To: 19166536077	From: 2022891050	2-02-06 1:14pm p. 9 of 10
		1-JG A-103 1
February 01, 2006		
Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
Dear Mr. Marshall,		
<p>We cannot take water out of delicate ecosystems which are integral to the health of our environment...While I am aware that we are always short of water, I believe we need to find more responsible methods for managing the water resources we have at our disposal.</p> <p>I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.</p> <p>I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.</p> <p>I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.</p> <p>Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.</p>		
Sincerely,		
Jeanine Gilvahr 6225 Mayfield Ave La Crescenta, CA 91214-2372 USA		

To: 19166535077

From: 2022891050

2-06-06 11:40am p. 3 of 10

1-RG 3

February 06, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

As a citizen and resident in the Bay area, I am sending this message to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions and that water conservation and reuse of grey water should be a priority.

RG-1

I strongly urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also strongly urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I must urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Robert Greff  
225 Michelle Dr  
Campbell, CA 95008  
USA

To: 19166536077

From: 2022891050

1-30-06 11:25pm p. 3 of 10

1-RT

January 29, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

These are my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program. I am particularly concerned by the proposed increase in the maximum pumping limit for the Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. The delta has already experienced a great reduction in fresh water which has reduced the estuary fish population to historic lows. The proposed pumping increase will further damage the Bay-Delta ecosystem. We need to think of more creative ways to gain the water we need, including conservation and recycling.

RT-1

To implement such a program, I urge you to withdraw the current draft environmental impact report. Then in the next few months issue a new draft which includes a preferred alternative that proposes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of such an alternative should take into account its evident environmental benefits. It should include techniques for water conservation and other proven water management tools so that the state can use to meet future water needs.

The Department of Water Resources should restore the delta protections for the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan that have been undermined during the past five years. To accomplish this the new preferred alternative should dedicate as much water to ecosystem restoration and protection as is mandated by the plan.

Sincerely,

Ruth Troetschler  
184 Lockhart Lane  
Los Altos, CA 94022-2121  
USA

To: 19166535077

From: 2022891060

2-07-06 11:27pm p. 1 of 1

1-PM1<sup>2</sup>

February 07, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

My family & I are definitely wanting to protect the South Delta. This project proposed will only destroy the ecosystem of the whole area. It will be devastating for wildlife.

PM1-1

I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Phyl Morello  
MC 2  
Albrightsville, PA 18210-9832  
USA

To: 19166536077

FROM: ELL0031000

1-EL

4

January 29, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

My husband and I are California natives and have watched the environmental changes to our state over the last fifty years. We are particularly concerned about what is happening to ocean quality and the destruction and degradation of wetlands. As you know, the oceans are in trouble and our San Francisco Bay as well. We have lost the filtration system that used to exist and the rich habitat for fish and migratory birds. Please don't make things worse by sacrificing water quality further.

EL-1

I am referring to the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Leite  
77 Willow Avenue  
Walnut Creek, CA 94595-1636  
USA

To: 19166536077

From: 2022891060

1-21-96 11:26am p. 6 of 10

1-PM2

January 21, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

You are NOT thinking environmentally in any respect, are you?

PM2-1

I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Phyl Morello  
HC 2  
Albrightsville, PA 18210-9802  
USA

To: 19166535077	From: 2022691050	1-20-06 6:50pm p. 6 of 10
		1-AN1 8
January 20, 2006		
Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
Dear Mr. Marshall,		
I am writing in opposition to any 'improvement' plan that will jeopardize the natural wildlife and fragile balance of the Bay-Delta. I am particularly concerned about the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly the part regarding the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I STRONGLY believe that this project is unnecessary and will cause severe and further damage to the Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.		AN1-1
I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.		
I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.		
Finally, please work to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.		
Sincerely,		
Alexis Nahabedian 1384 11th Ave San Francisco, CA 94122-2205 USA		

To: 19166535077

From: 2022691050

1-20-06 6:00pm p. 5 of 10

1-SL

9

January 20, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

We the people are fed up with government regulatory programs being staffed with those who have no regard for the environment, seeking only to help big industry and line their own pockets, and with officials who refuse to listen to sound science from biologists who have been in the field. There are so many precious ecosystems that have disappeared under concrete or agriculture; please do not allow the San Francisco Bay/Delta become another one. This unique ecosystem is home to many species who depend on the health of one another for their survival, and pumping water away from their homes will ultimately destroy them. I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

SL-1

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Sherry Lizardo  
1396 E. Kern  
Tulare, CA 93274-4524  
USA

To: 19166535077	From: 2022891050	1-20-06 6:00pm p. 4 of 10
<b>1-DB1 10</b>		
<p>January 20, 2006</p> <p>Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Dear Mr. Marshall:</p> <p>I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.</p> <p>I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.</p> <p>I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.</p> <p>Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.</p> <p>The entire United States of America looks to actions of the State of California as a guide for it's policies so please continue to lead the nation to preserve our environment.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>David Beck 37 Round Top Rd Warren, NJ 07059-5521 USA</p> <p style="text-align: right;"><b>DB1-1</b></p>		

To: 19166536077

From: 2022891050

1-20-06 2:01pm p. 3 of 5

1-HH 11

January 20, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

peace,

i didn't realize the san francisco bay area is the largest west coast outflow into the pacific in all the western hemisphere. of course we need to do our best & moroso to keep it in peace. peace

HH-1

Sincerely,

BOLY HOLMAN  
POB 4664  
Arcata, CA 95518-4664  
USA

To: 19166536077

From: 2022891050

1-20-06 2:01pm p. 1 of 5

1-JN

12

January 20, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second.

I strongly believe that the Bay-Delta ecosystem already has been harmed by excessive water diversions, and this project will cause further damage. In addition, the project is unnecessary. I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

It is time for the whole state to start planning major water-conservation programs, as outlined by the Pacific Institute's report "Waste Not Want Not," available on-line (see [http://www.pacinst.org/reports/urban\\_usage/media\\_release.htm](http://www.pacinst.org/reports/urban_usage/media_release.htm)).

JN-1

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available to identify the causes of the delta's decline and the current decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Jane Nielson  
3727 Burnside Rd  
Sebastopol, CA 95472-9459  
USA

To: 19166536077 From: 2022891050 1-19-06 1:42pm p. 5 of 10

1-JD 13

January 19, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta — the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Protection of our natural resources and the wildlife that depend on them is just as important as providing water to grow our food.

JD-1

Sincerely,

Joann DeSantis  
9504 Sylvia Ave  
Northridge, CA 91324-1752  
USA

To: 19166536977

From: 2022891050

1-19-06 9:51pm p. 2 of 10

1-ML

14

January 19, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

As a resident of the SF Bay Area since childhood, I am aware of the unique biological treasure that the inland delta used to be. There is a small amount of this treasure remaining - we can restore a significant part of this gem to its original grandeur. It is important to do for biological diversity - which is necessary for the future health and survival of not only the local area, for the larger environment as a whole.

ML-1

I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Michael Linvill  
1317 Lincoln Ave Apt 15  
San Rafael, CA 94901-2129  
USA

To: 19166535077	From: 2022891060	1-19-06 10:30pm p. 1 of 10
		1-TG 15
<p>January 19, 2006</p> <p>Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Dear Mr. Marshall,</p> <p>Although I am not a California resident, I spend a week in San Francisco every year or two. My wife has business conferences in the area and my daughter and I travel with her so we can spend the week exploring the wildlife areas around the San Francisco Bay.</p> <p>I am very concerned by the Department of Water Resources' draft environmental impact report for the South Delta Improvements Program, specifically the proposal to increase the pumping limit for the Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I believe that this part of the project will be very detrimental to the fisheries of the San Francisco Bay.</p> <p>I urge you to abandon the increased water diversions, and instead advocate an alternative that would reduce water diversions, offsetting the loss of water with increased water conservation efforts and improved wastewater and groundwater management.</p> <p>Thank you for considering my comments. The wildlife of San Francisco Bay is a national treasure, and one that I hope to continue to enjoy. You can help protect this treasure by your actions to reduce water diversions.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Troy Gordon 9705 N Route E Harrisburg, MO 65256-9851 USA</p>		
		TG-1

To: 19166536077

From: 2022891060

1-19-06 7:01pm p. 7 of 10

1-DG1<sup>u-267</sup>  
1-7

January 19, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

Recently I was made aware of a draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program. After looking over key elements of the project, particularly the part that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second, I feel I must register a strong protest.

Based on the information I have read, this project is unnecessary. Beyond "unnecessary" -- it is actively harmful to our state's fish and wildlife and, ultimately, we humans, as well.

DG1-1

This project will cause further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Deborah Giordano  
17848 Columbia Drive  
Castro Valley, CA 94552-1739  
USA

To: 19166536077	From: 2022891050	1-19-06 4:20pm p. 5 of 10
		1-KA 18
January 19, 2006		
Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
Dear Mr. Marshall,		
Recent activity on the part of the federal government has demonstrated the folly of naively taking action without the benefit of thoughtful deliberation and adequate preparation. California does not need to emulate the current federal administration's example.		KA-1
Therefore, I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.		
I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.		
I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta - the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.		
Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.		
Sincerely,		
Kent Andrews 220 Sunset Way Muir Beach, CA 94965-9746 USA		

To: 19166536077	From: 2022891050	1-19-06 4:10pm p. 9 of 10
		1-ST 19
January 19, 2006		
Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
Dear Mr. Marshall,		
I am writing to let you know that the South Delta Improvement Program kills the Delta and its deminishing fishes. We all need water; and fishes need it more. And by suggesting taking more water from of the Delta will not have any negative effect on its wildlife is wrong!		ST-1
I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.		
I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.		
I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta – the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.		
Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.		
Sincerely,		
Sauwah Tsang 10800 Peach Grove St North Hollywood, CA 91601-4675 USA		

To: 19166536077	From: 2022891050	1-19-06 4:10pm p. 10 of 10
		1-TN <sup>1000</sup> + 2.0
January 19, 2006		
Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
Dear Mr. Marshall,		
I am concerned about the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program.		TN-1
I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions. It is not necessary to increase the maximum pumping limit to 8,509 cubic feet per second and would only irrevocably harm the Delta.		
I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions.		
We need to return to water conservation and other proven water management tools to meet our future water needs.		
We also need to recommit ourselves to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan.		
It is your duty, as a key player in the Department of Water Resources to restore and protect the Delta, not destroy its future viability. Please show us a full draft environmental impact report regarding the causes of the Delta's decline and the further impact this proposal would have on it.		
Sincerely,		
Toni Nash 111 South Street San Luis, CA 94965-2555 USA		

To: 19166536077	From: 2022691050	1-19-06 5:43pm p. 1 of 5
		34✓ 21 1-KW
January 19, 2006		
Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
Dear Mr. Marshall,		
Water diversions from the Klamath River were catastrophic. Diverting water from the Bay-Delta ecosystem will cause more harm than help. I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed. THINK AHEAD, WAY AHEAD! Thank you.		KW-1
Sincerely,		KW-2
Kathryn Wild 7275 Canyon Breeze San Diego, CA 92126-2076 USA		

To: 19166535077 From: 2022891050 1-19-06 1:34pm p. 1 of 10 24 1-LD

January 19, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Remember, you are a servant of the people, not of special interests.

Sincerely,

Larry Dennis  
35176 Garcia St  
Union City, CA 94587-3206  
USA

LD-1

To: 19166536077 From: 2022691060 1-19-06 2:52pm p. 6 of 10

26 1-DR

January 19, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program.

The Delta bay ecosystem is already degraded by the excessive water withdrawals that have taken place in the past. This estuary is an important lay over for migratory birds and should be enhanced, not further degraded. DR-1

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta - the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Donna Riddle  
1238 Crest Dr  
Eugene, OR 97405-1902  
USA

To: 19166535077	From: 2022891060	1-23-06 2:42pm p. 1 of 1
21 1-TRT		
January 23, 2006		
Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
Dear Mr. Marshall,		
I speak for my family and most of my friends and relatives in California. We are concerned about the DWR's proposed intent to increase the pumping described in the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program.		
The increased pumping up to 8,500 cubic feet per second has the very real probability to result in damaging further the stressed San Francisco Bay-Delta ecosystem that has been abused from years of excessive water diversions.		
We request you to adopt the No Action alternative and undertake new studies for water conservation and management that will not result in additional adverse effects on the Delta.		
Like many others, we urge you to adopt a program that would include dedicating at least as much water to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. We also urge the DWR to sponsor independent scientific analysis to document the causes of the delta's decline, and adopt future programs that would protect the delta and remedy the problems identified.		
Thank you for the opportunity to comment. Please take our suggestions to heart.		
Sincerely,		
Terry R. Thomas 2520 Snow Ln Redding, CA 96003-3419 USA		

To: 19166536077	From: 2022691060	1-23-06 3:40pm p. 5 of 6
28 1-NS		
<p>January 23, 2006</p> <p>Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814</p> <p>Dear Mr. Marshall,</p> <p>This letter is intended to submit my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly targeting the aspect in the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet/ second. This project seems unnecessary and potentially harmful to the Bay-Delta ecosystem already damaged by excessive water diversions.</p> <p>I would prefer that you withdraw the draft environmental impact report currently on the table and instead present a draft with viable alternatives, including a REDUCTION in Delta water diversions.</p> <p>I do not believe the current report takes in our current water potential and water-saving technology, such as the introduction of water-saving devices, collection and purification of rainwater, the increased push for fewer impervious surfaces in widely paved areas, and so forth.</p> <p>The new analysis should review potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and established, intelligent water management tools can help California meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.</p> <p>I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.</p> <p>Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.</p> <p>I think all this would be in line not only with the responsibilities you have to your position, but the responsibilities you have as a person in power to all the lifeforms and citizens not in the decision-makers chair. Thank you for your time.</p> <p>Sincerely,</p> <p>Nicole Sanders 616 onions st # 202 Davis, CA 95616-6091 USA</p>		

To: 19166536077

From: 2022891060

1-22-06 11:50pm p. 4 of 9

2001  
29 1-DB2

January 22, 2006

Paul A. Marshall  
California Department of Water Resources  
South Delta Branch  
1416 9th Street, 2nd floor  
Sacramento, CA 95814

Dear Mr. Marshall,

I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.

I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.

I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta – the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.

I am a former resident of Marin, having lived there for most of my life and care deeply for the San Francisco and its wildlife. I am a graduate of the Environmental Forum of Marin and support efforts to monitor the water of the bay and its delicate balance. Please think of the future of the bay!!!

DB2-1

Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.

Sincerely,

Dena Bergstrom  
10612 Milkweed Dr  
Great Falls, VA 22066-3309  
USA

To: 19166536077	From: 2022891050	1-22-06 3:36pm p. 2 of 2
		31
		1-DG2
January 22, 2006		
Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
Dear Mr. Marshall,		
PLEASE SEE MY ADDITIONAL COMMENTS BELOW.		
<p>I am writing to offer my comments on the draft environmental impact report/statement for the South Delta Improvements Program, particularly regarding the part of the project that would increase the maximum pumping limit for the state water project's Delta pumps to 8,500 cubic feet per second. I strongly believe that this project is unnecessary and could further damage a Bay-Delta ecosystem that has already been harmed by excessive water diversions.</p> <p>I urge you to withdraw the draft environmental impact report and issue a new draft with a preferred alternative that includes a significant reduction in Delta water diversions. The analysis of this alternative should include potential environmental benefits, how water conservation and other proven water management tools can help the state meet its future water needs, and how such a reduction, combined with investments in other water supply sources, could improve the reliability of urban water supplies.</p> <p>I also urge you to include, in the new preferred alternative, at least as much water dedicated to ecosystem restoration and protection as is required by the state's plan to protect and restore the delta -- the CALFED Bay-Delta Plan. The Department of Water Resources should work to restore the delta protections in that plan that have been undermined during the past five years.</p> <p>Finally, I urge you to issue a full draft environmental impact report on the proposal to increase the state water project's maximum pumping limit once improved scientific information is available regarding the causes of the delta's decline and once this decline has been reversed.</p> <p>IN ADDITION - I feel like water conservation projects around the state (such as rebates for low-water toilets and washing machines) are NOT adequately advertised. I did a study on the situation in San Diego and although they have great programs (and have had good success), many residents are not aware of the potential rebates available. This information should be easy to find and should be advertised somehow to let people know their options and use individual Californians to really spark massive water efficiency improvements around the state.</p>		
Sincerely,		
Diana Ginnebaugh 100 Pasito Ter Apt 120 Sunnyvale, CA 94086-4857 USA		

To: 19166536077	From: 2022691050	1-22-06 7:41am p. 1 of 1
32 1-RA		
January 22, 2006		
Paul A. Marshall California Department of Water Resources South Delta Branch 1416 9th Street, 2nd floor Sacramento, CA 95814		
Dear Mr. Marshall,		
I am a retired US Forest employee. For 18 years before my retirement, I reviewed and corrected NEPA documents prepared for all projects on our 2.2 million acre forest. I am an expert on the NEPA.		
I have read your DEIS for the South Delta Improvements Program. Allow me to say sir, that it is by far the worst I have ever read. There are scores of NEPA errors in your document. Space does not allow me to identify them all here. However, I would highly recommend that you have someone with even a basic knowledge of NEPA review your DEIS before you proceed further.		
I am particularly concerned about your environmental effects analysis of your proposal to increase the maximum pumping limit for the Delta pumps. I have knowledge of aquatic organisms and their habitat as well as NEPA. I cannot believe that your increased pumping limit will not have adverse effects on the Bay-Delta aquatic ecosystem.		
Why do you not state this in your environmental effects finding for the project?		
I ask you to withdraw the DEIS and issue a new draft ... that is correctly done.		
I also ask you to include, in your new preferred alternative (which is certain if you do a good analysis) a proposal to save water just for aquatic ecosystem restoration.		
After all this is your job.		
Your final DEIS submitted for public review must be based on science and not on those who might profit financially from increasing the water pumping limit.		
Sincerely,		
Richard Artley 415 East North 2nd Grangeville, ID 83530-2257 USA		

## Responses to Comments

### **1-JG-1, 1-RG-1, 1-RT-1, 1-JN-1, 1-TG-1, 1-DG1-1, 1-TRT-2, 1-NS-1, 1-NS-2, and 1-DG2-1**

Please see Master Response D, *Developing and Screening Alternatives Considered in the Draft EIS/EIR*.

### **1-PM1-1, 1-AN1-1, 1-SL-1, 1-ST-1, 1-DR-1, and 1-TRT-1**

The effects of the SDIP on biological resources, including wildlife, are fully described in the SDIP Draft EIS/EIR in Chapter 6, which includes impact assessment for fish, vegetation and wetlands, and wildlife. Where a significant effect is found to result from implementation of the SDIP, DWR and Reclamation will implement mitigation measure(s) to ensure that the overall impact is less than significant.

### **1-EL-1**

The effects of the SDIP on biological resources, including wetlands, are fully described in the SDIP Draft EIS/EIR in Chapter 6, which includes impact assessment for fish, vegetation and wetlands, and wildlife. Where a significant effect is found to result from implementation of the SDIP, DWR and Reclamation will implement mitigation measure(s) to ensure that the overall impact is less than significant. One goal of the SDIP is to improve water quality in the interior south Delta. The SDIP achieves this goal in many areas of the south Delta.

### **1-PM2-1, 1-DB1-1, 1-HH-1, 1-JD-1, 1-ML-1, 1-TN-1, 1-KW-1, 1-LD-1, and 1-DB2-1**

The SDIP is intended to balance the needs of the environment with the needs of the water users south of the Delta. Impacts identified as potentially significant will be mitigated to a less than significant level to ensure minimal effects on the environment.

### **1-KA-1**

The SDIP Draft EIS/EIR represents a full-faith[<sup>kk1</sup>]effort to disclose the effects of the SDIP actions to ensure that decision-makers, including DWR and Reclamation, have the best available information on which to base a decision. As described further in Master Response B, *Relationship between the South Delta Improvements Program and the Pelagic Organism Decline*, DWR and

Reclamation have committed to another CEQA/NEPA compliance document that will include any new information gathered during the POD investigations, prior to making a decision on increasing diversions.

## **1-KW-2**

Please see Master Response B, *Relationship between the South Delta Improvements Program and the Pelagic Organism Decline*.

## **1-RA-1**

DWR and Reclamation believe the SDIP Draft EIS/EIR meets the requirements of NEPA and CEQA.

## **1-RA-2**

The effects of the SDIP on biological resources, including wildlife, are fully described in the SDIP Draft EIS/EIR in Chapter 6, which includes impact assessment for fish, vegetation and wetlands, and wildlife. Where a significant effect is found to result from implementation of the SDIP, DWR and Reclamation will implement mitigation measure(s) to ensure that the overall impact is less than significant.

## **1-RA-3**

Please see Master Response D, *Developing and Screening Alternatives Considered in the Draft EIS/EIR*.

## **1-RA-4**

The SDIP Draft EIS/EIR utilizes the best available tools and information. As described further in Master Response B, *Relationship between the South Delta Improvements Program and the Pelagic Organism Decline*, DWR and Reclamation have committed to another CEQA/NEPA compliance document that will include any new information gathered during the POD investigations, prior to making a decision on increasing diversions.